TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

DACKET EILE CODY ORIGAG

DOOKE	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL				
					1. 1.4 1.7 1.7
In the Matter of:				•	
ROBERT D. LANDIS		EB Docket	No. 06-149		
					5
		•			∼
			'		ပ္တ
					8 W 16
	ti e et i talian i talian e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		ta i		5
			d . Sy		
					1
					# N
		7			
DATE OF HEARING:	OCTOBER 18, 20	06	VOLUM	E:	1
PLACE OF HEARING:_			PAGES:		

NEAL R. GROSS & CO., INC. 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE (202) 234-4433

BEFORE THE

+ + + + +

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

+ + + + +

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

+ + + + +

In the Matter of:

ROBERT D. LANDIS

BB Docket No. 06-149

Amateur Radio Operator

and Licensee of Amateur

Radio Station N6FRV

)

Hearing room A, TW-A363 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C.

Wednesday, October 18, 2006

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE ARTHUR I. STEINBERG, Administrative Law Judge

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Commission:

ANJALI K. SINGH, ESQ. JUDY LANCASTER, ESQ.

of: Federal Communications Commission
Enforcement Bureau
Investigations and Hearings Division
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-2529

Fax: (202) 418-2080

Start Time: 9:26 a.m.

End Time: 9:33 a.m.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	9:26 a.m.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: This is a pre-hearing
4	conference in EB Docket No. 06-149, by order to show
5	cause DA06-1570, when we saw this first 2006, this
6	case was designated for hearing on issues which seek
7	to determine the effect of Robert D. Landis' felony
8	convictions on his qualifications to be and to remain
9	a Commission Licensee, and whether his amateur rating
10	license should be revoked.
11	
T.T.	By Order FCC 06M-23, released August 7,
12	2006, I was appointed to preside over this proceeding,
13	and a pre-hearing conference was scheduled for this
14	morning.
15	Let me first take the appearances for the
16	parties.
17	For Robert D. Landis? Let the record
18	reflect no response.
19	For the Chief Enforcement Bureau?
20	MS. SINGH: Good morning, Your Honor, my
21	name is Anjali K. Singh, and with me is Judy
22	Lancaster, on behalf of the Enforcement Bureau.
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: Thank you. You don't
24	have to stand up.
25	MS. SINGH: All right, thank you, Your

1 Honor. JUDGE STEINBERG: 2 I appreciate the 3 courtesy, though. Inasmuch as Mr. Landis is not here, I 5 prepared some comments. 6 Paragraph 7 of the Order to Show Cause, 7 pursuant to Section 1.91(c) of the Commission's rules, required Mr. Landis to file a written appearance 8 stating that he will appear on the date fixed for 9 10 hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in the Order to Show Cause. 11 Such notice was due to filed within 30 12 days of the release of the OSC. Since the OSC was 13 released on August 1st, the written appearance was due 14 15 to be filed on or before August 31, 2006. I have not received a copy of any written 16 appearance. Has the Bureau? 17 MS. SINGH: No, Your Honor. In fact, we 18 received a copy of a written document directed to the 19 20 Chief of the Enforcement Bureau, and not timely filed before the Agency, and Counsel for the Enforcement 21 Bureau caused that document to be filed and copies to 22 be served on Your Honor. If you would extra copies at 23 24 this time, Your Honor? JUDGE STEINBERG: No, no, that was my next 25

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

paragraph that was I was going to. So, let me get 1 2 into my next paragraph. By letter dated August 12, 2006, addressed 3 to the Commission's Enforcement Bureau, Mr. Landis 4 5 responded to the Order to Show Cause. Among other things, Mr. Landis stated that he had served his 6 7 prison term and had completed his parole, and that he had always obeyed Commission rules. 8 9 Mr. Landis also stated that he has been committed to a state hospital for treatment related to 10 an unspecified mental disorder, and that he cannot 11 leave the hospital whenever he wants. Indeed, Mr. 12 13 Landis claimed that the hospital would not release him 14 to appear in person before an administrative law 15 judae. Mr. Landis believed that a teleconference 16 was possible, but contended that he could not afford 17 an attorney, and, thus, would not have one unless he 18 19 can secure an attorney on a pro bono basis. 20 The Enforcement Bureau caused this letter to be filed with the Office of the Secretary on August 21 22 31, 2006, and I received a copy of it shortly 23 thereafter. On September 28, 2006, the Enforcement 24 Bureau filed a Motion to Terminate Proceeding in 25

Certified Case to the Commission. Therein, the Bureau 1 2 correctly contends that Mr. Landis' letter was not 3 properly filed in accordance with the Commission's rules, and that it does not -- and that it does not 4 constitute the written appearance required by the 5 Order to Show Cause, and the Commission's rules. 6 7 The rule requests that this proceeding be 8 terminated and the case be certified to the 9 Commission. Early last week, I received a letter from 10 Mr. Samuel Landis, who stated that he was David 11 Landis' father. The letter was dated October 3, 2006. 12 13 For various reasons, Mr. Samuel Landis 14 urges the Commission not to cancel his son's license. 15 The presiding judge caused this letter to be filed with the Office of the Secretary, and provided copies 16 17 to counsel for the Enforcement Bureau. As noted earlier, Section 1.91(c) of the 18 19 Commission's rules, and paragraph 7 of the Order to Show Cause, required Mr. Landis to file a written 20 21 appearance stating that he will appear at the hearing 22 and present evidence on the specified issues. Pursuant to Paragraph 7 of the Order to 23 Show Cause, the written appearance was due to be filed 24 on or before August 31, 2006. No written appearance 25

has been filed, and no one is here today on behalf of Mr. Landis.

In the presiding judge's view, Mr. Landis, Mr. Robert Landis' letter to the Enforcement Bureau does not meet the requirements established for the following written appearance. On the contrary, rather than stating that he will appear and present evidence at the hearing, Mr. Landis has specifically stated that he will not appear because the hospital will not release him to attend the hearing.

In this regard, the fact that he is confined to a hospital is not controlling, since Mr. Landis can appear and present evidence through legal counsel. However, Mr. Landis, in his letter, specifically stated that he will not retain an attorney.

Given these conditions, and as suggested by the Bureau in its Motion to Terminate, it appears that Mr. Landis' letter should be viewed as the written statement contemplated in Section 1.92(b) of the Commission's rules. That section provides for the submission of a written statement, signed by the respondent, denying or seeking to mitigate or justify the conduct contemplated in an Order to Show Cause.

Section 192(a)(1) of the Commission's

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	rules, as well as Paragraph 8 of the Order to Show
2	Cause, provides that under the circumstances presented
3	here the failure to file the requisite notice of
4	appearance constitutes a waiver of the right to a
5	hearing. Consequently, pursuant to Section 1.92(c) of
6	the rules, and Paragraph 8 of the Order to Show Cause,
7	the presiding judge will grant the Bureau's Motion to
8	Terminate, and issue an order citing the events and
9	circumstances constituting the waiver of the hearing,
10	will terminate the hearing, and will certify the case
11	to the Commission.
12	Any comments on all that?
13	MS. SINGH: No thank you, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. With that, I think
15	we will conclude the conference, and then I will get
16	an order out in the next few days certifying the
17	matter to the Commission terminating the hearing and
18	granting your motion.
19	Okay, let's go off the record now.
20	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter was
21	concluded at 9:33 a.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

FLORIDA CABLE TELECO	OMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL.
Name of Hearing	
EB DOCKET NO. 06-149)
Docket No. (if appli	(cable)
445 12 th STREET, S.W	., WASHINGTON, D.C.
Place of Hearing	
OCTOBER 18, 2006	
Date of Hearing	
numbers 1 through 9, complete transcript John Mongover at the above identify provisions of the compressional verbation with and have verifications for the reporting or recomparing the final	do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, inclusive, are the true, accurate and prepared from the reporting by (Reporter's Name) in attendance fied hearing, in accordance with applicable arrent Federal Communications Commission's im reporting and transcription statement of ied the accuracy of the accuracy of the omparing the typewritten transcript against cording accomplished at the hearings and (2) proofed typewritten transcript against the ing accomplished at the hearing or conference.
October 31, 2006	John Mongoven
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Reporter Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
October 31, 2006	Judy Hadley Judy Hadles
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
October 31, 2006	Nora Sullivan Nora Sullini
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader Name of Company:Neal Gross Co